

**FILED**

**AUG 28 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

FREDRICK JEROME YOUNG, also  
known as Jerome Young,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-30515

D.C. No. CR-02-05468-001-RSL

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Washington  
Robert S. Lasnik, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 21, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: GOODWIN, REINHARDT, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Fredrick Jerome Young appeals from the district court's order revoking his supervised release and imposing a 9-month term of imprisonment.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Young has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, and a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record and opening brief pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83-84 (1988), indicates that the appeal is moot because Young has already served his entire post-revocation sentence. *See United States v. Palomba*, 182 F.3d 1121, 1123 (9th Cir. 1999).

Accordingly, we **GRANT** counsel's motion to withdraw and **DISMISS** the appeal.